Steady-state signatures of radiation trapping by cold multilevel atoms
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In this paper, we use steady-state measurements to obtain evidence of radiation trapping in an optically thick cloud of cold rubidium atoms. We investigate the fluorescence properties of our sample, pumped on opened transitions. This fluorescence exhibits a nontrivial dependence on the optical thickness of the media. A simplified model, based on rate equations, self-consistently coupled to a diffusive model of light transport, is used to explain the experimental observations in terms of incoherent radiation trapping on one spectral line. Measurements of the atomic populations and the fluorescence spectrum qualitatively agree with this interpretation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Trapping of light due to multiple scattering by the atoms of a gas plays an important role in many transfer problems, ranging from plasma physics to astrophysics [1]. Moreover, atomic vapors provide a well-characterized set of identical and very efficient resonant scatterers; from the beginning of the twentieth century, they have been extensively used to experimentally investigate the phenomenon of radiation trapping [2–4]. In hot-atomic vapors, frequency redistribution due to atomic motion significantly affects the radiation-trapping processes [5], leading to nondiffusive multiple-scattering processes [6]. From that prospect, samples of cold atoms, where frequency redistribution is strongly reduced, provide an interesting model experiment to study the role of multiple scattering with increasing complexity. Time-resolved experiments on a closed atomic transition have demonstrated that cold atoms give rise to very efficient radiation trapping [7–9], consistent with steady-state experiments on a closed transition [10]. Even more subtle interference effects have been observed, such as coherent backscattering [11] opening the way to study effects, such as localization of light in cold-atomic vapors [12].

Another additional interesting feature of such a system is to provide light amplification when atomic vapors are optically pumped under certain conditions [13–18]. For a given level of gain, when feedback due to multiple scattering is increased, a runaway regime can be reached where gain in the volume compensates for losses through the surface: Then, the power emitted by the sample increases until saturation of gain is reached. This is the photonic bomb predicted by Letokhov [19]. Above threshold, modal selection can occur, feedback due to multiple scattering, favorizing certain frequencies or directions of emission [20]. It has been predicted that gain and feedback due to multiple scattering can be combined to obtain a random lasing action in a cloud of cold atoms [21].

In the context of quantum information, radiation trapping has been studied as a perturbative phenomenon limiting the atomic coherence [22]. It has also been shown that radiation trapping inside the media can impact the photon statistics of light emitted outside the sample [22,23]. Finally, mechanical effects of trapped light also have also been investigated and have limited the density of atoms [24] or even have induced mechanical instabilities [25,26].

In this paper, we consider a configuration identified as a good candidate to obtain a random lasing action where multilevel atoms of rubidium are optically pumped on an open transition allowing for Raman gain to occur. We show that, even in the absence of gain, light trapped inside the media can have a significant influence on the atomic populations and, therefore, on the intensity and spectra of the emission of the sample. Understanding this regime is a required preliminary condition to later identifying signatures of a random laser in this configuration. We stress that, here, we exhibit a model experiment, which allows investigating the laboratory environment features of radiation transfer in a multiline system out of thermal equilibrium—well known in the domain of astrophysics [27–29].

The paper is organized as follows: Sec. II describes the experimental setup, the atomic configuration under consideration, and the main signatures of radiation trapping. In Sec. III, we introduce a qualitative model (Sec. III A) based on a diffusion equation for light transport and rate equations for the atomic response to assess the influence of trapped light on the atomic emission. Detailed equations for the self-consistent solution of the coupled equations of the atomic response and a diffusion equation for light are presented in Sec. III B, together with a comparison to experimental results. Some approximations performed in this simplified model are discussed in Sec. III C before we conclude in Sec. IV.

II. EXPERIMENT

The main features of our magneto-optical trap (MOT) have already been described in Ref. [30]. We use six counterpropagating trapping beams with a waist of 3.4 cm to load atoms of $^8$Rb from a vapor in a magneto-optical trap (see Fig. 1). Trapping beams are detuned by $-3\gamma$ from the $F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 4$ hyperfine transition of $^8$Rb where $\gamma$ is the width of the transition. The intensity of $\approx 3$ mW/cm$^2$ per beam is slightly larger than the intensity saturation on the cycling transition ($I_{\text{sat}} = 1.67$ mW/cm$^2$ [31]). Six additional repumper beams, tuned to the $F = 2 \rightarrow F' = 3$ transition, maintain most of the atomic population in $F = 3$. We can load between $10^8$ and $10^{11}$ atoms by changing the background vapor pressure ($\approx 10^{-8}$ to a few $10^{-7}$ mbar) and the time used to load the trap ($\approx 10–500$ ms). Once the atoms are loaded, we perform a temporal dark MOT by reducing the intensity of the repumper beams. This leads to a reduction in the size of the cloud and an increased spatial and optical
FIG. 1. Experimental setup. A cloud of $^{85}$Rb is obtained in a magneto-optical trap, whose optical thickness can be adjusted by varying the dark MOT parameters while keeping the number of atoms constant. A pump ($P$)($3 \rightarrow 2'$ transition) and a repumper ($Rep$)($2 \rightarrow 3'$ transition) are then used to illuminate the atoms while fluorescent emission from the sample is detected using a lens with a large solid angle and a high-gain photodiode.

density. By varying the duration of this compression process and with $10^9$ atoms initially loaded, it is possible to adjust the optical thickness $b_0$ of the sample ($b_0 = -\ln(T)$, where $T$ is the coherent forward transmission measured on the $3 \rightarrow 4'$ resonance) in a range of 20–75. We stress that this protocol allows for changing the optical thickness while keeping the number of atoms quasiconstant ($\pm 10\%$). The rms radii of the cloud are 1.18 and 0.61 mm, respectively, for $b_0 = 20$ and $b_0 = 75$. After this dark MOT, the trapping lasers and magnetic-field gradients are switched off, and we expose the sample to a pair of contrapropagating pump beams $P$ with a waist of 2.4 cm and a center intensity of 1.9 mW/cm$^2$ per beam. The pump beam is obtained from a master laser, which is then amplified by two stages of saturated slave lasers; hence, by tuning the frequency of the master laser using a double-pass acousto-optical modulator, we can scan the detuning $\delta_P$ of the pump with respect to the $F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 2$ transition by more than $16\%$ without altering its intensity by more than 0.1%. Note that, when the pump is detuned from the $F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 2$ resonance and if the $F = 3$ state is more populated than $F = 2$, Raman gain ($F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 2 \rightarrow F = 2$) can be obtained in this system [15,16,32–34]. An additional repumper on the $F = 2 \rightarrow F' = 3$ transition controls the population balance between the hyperfine ground states of $^{85}$Rb in the steady-state regime. In the work described in this paper, we use three pairs of contrapropagating repumping beams with an intensity of 0.48 mW/cm$^2$ per beam, detuned by $-4\Gamma$ from the $F = 2 \rightarrow F' = 3$ transition. Due to the low intensity of this repumper, most atoms are in the $F = 2$ ground state when the pump is close to $F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 2$ resonance. Note that the pump and repumper have respective waists of 2.4 and 3 cm, both much larger than the radius of our cloud (~1 mm). Hence, the mean intensities received by the atoms vary by less than 0.2% in the whole range of compression ratios explored. Finally, fluorescent emission from our sample is detected in a solid angle of 0.01 sr and is measured using a high-gain photodiode. Our measurements are performed on a time scale of a few 100 $\mu$s (typically 1 or 2 ms), whereas, our system reaches its steady state in less than a few microseconds, even

FIG. 2. (Color online) Evolution of the intensity of total fluorescence with the optical thickness $b_0$ for a sample of a fixed number of atoms ($N = 1.2 \times 10^9$). (a) Experimental result of the total fluorescence as a function of pump detuning for various optical thicknesses $b_0$: from light to dark gray, 23, 33, 41, 53, 62, and 73. A clear increase in fluorescence for zero detuning of the pump is observed, attributed to radiation-trapping effects. The intensities of the laser are as follows: 1.88 mW/cm$^2$ for the pump laser and 0.48 mW/cm$^2$ for the repumper. The repumper is detuned by $-4\Gamma$ from the $F = 2 \rightarrow F' = 3$ transition. (b) Total power emitted by the sample in the same conditions, estimated from our model–coupling diffusion and rate equations. (c) Evolution with the optical thickness $b_0$ of the intensity of fluorescence measured experimentally for the resonant pump ($\delta_P = 0$). (d) Corresponding total power emitted by the sample, estimated from our model in good qualitative agreement with experimental observations.
When radiation trapping occurs for the larger values of our optical thickness [9]. Hence, all observations reported here correspond to a steady-state regime.

The most striking result of this experiment is shown in Fig. 2. We measure the fluorescence intensity of our sample submitted to a pump and to a weak and detuned repumper as a function of the detuning of the pump. This detuning is scanned quickly enough so that the cloud does not significantly expand or fall due to gravity but slowly enough so that each point corresponds to a quasi-steady-state regime. We have verified that, by dividing or multiplying by 2, this scanning rate does not affect our measurements. Furthermore, we have paid particular attention to keep the number of atoms constant (±10%) when we changed the optical thickness of the cloud. This protocol allows for easily distinguishing collective effects from a change in fluorescence due to an increased number of atoms. If atoms would react individually to the exciting beams, the detected fluorescence would remain constant as we change the optical thickness $b_0$. As one can clearly see in Fig. 2, we observe a strong increase in this intensity with $b_0$ when the pump is tuned to the $F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 2$ resonance. This is a signature of a strong collective emission effect that occurs in our sample.

III. SELF-CONSISTENT MODEL OF ATOMIC RESPONSE COUPLED TO RADIATION TRANSFER OF LIGHT

A. Qualitative explanation

In what follows, we will show how these observations can be understood by taking into account the strong impact of diffuse light on the atomic populations. A qualitative description allows for understanding the dominant phenomenon of this situation and involves a description of the atomic response and a diffusion equation for radiation trapping of the light on one particular atomic emission line.

The atomic response can be obtained using optical Bloch equations, describing atomic populations and (optical and Zeeman) coherences. For a two-level transition excited with a single optical frequency, steady-state results for populations and emission intensities can be equivalently obtained from simpler rate equations. Note that a similar simplification can be used for time-dependent quantities if the decay rate of atomic coherences is much larger than those of populations, a situation which can, e.g., occur in the presence of collisions. The steady-state solution of the rate equations is given by $\rho_{32} = \frac{B_{32} I_p}{B_{30} I_p + \Gamma}$, where $\rho_{32}$ and $\rho_{12}$, respectively, are the excited and ground populations with $B$ as the Einstein coefficient (see details below), $I_p$ as the incident-laser intensity, and $\delta$ as the detuning between the laser frequency and the atomic transition frequency.

When radiation-trapping effects can be neglected, our atomic four-level scheme (Fig. 1) reduces to two two-level atoms, each excited by a laser, which allows using rate equations for the steady-state populations. These two two-level systems are coupled by incoherent radiative decay (from $F' = 2 \rightarrow F = 2$ and $F' = 3 \rightarrow F = 3$).

In the experiments described in this paper, we focus on a situation with a strong pump laser tuned close to the atomic line $F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 2$ and a weaker repumper laser detuned from the atomic line $F = 2 \rightarrow F' = 3$. In this case, most atoms are in the ground state $F = 2$. We measure the total fluorescence $P_F$ of the atomic cloud, which is proportional to the total population $\rho_{22} + \rho_{33}$ of the excited state of states $F' = 2$ and $F' = 3$.

$$P_F \propto \rho_{22} + \rho_{33},$$

$$\propto \rho_{32} \frac{B_{32}(\delta p)I_p}{\Gamma + B_{32}(\delta p)I_p} + \rho_{22} \frac{B_{22}(\delta \text{Rep})I_{\text{Rep}}}{\Gamma + B_{22}(\delta \text{Rep})I_{\text{Rep}}},$$

with $\delta_p$ (respectively, $\delta_{\text{rep}}$) as the detuning of the pump (respectively, repumper) laser, and $I_p$ (respectively, $I_{\text{Rep}}$) as the corresponding intensities. The populations of the ground states $F = 2$ ($F = 3$) are denoted $\rho_{22}$ ($\rho_{33}$). For the parameters of our experiment described in this paper and with the Einstein coefficients $B_{ij}$ defined in Eq. (5) below, we have $B_{32}(\delta p = 0)I_p = 0.061/\Gamma$ and $B_{22}(\delta \text{Rep} = -4\Gamma)I_{\text{Rep}} = 0.0027/\Gamma$ so that we can approximate the above expression by

$$P_F \propto \rho_{32} B_{32}(\delta p)I_p + \rho_{22} B_{22}(\delta \text{Rep})I_{\text{Rep}}.$$ 

In the weak-driving limit where $[B_{32}(\delta p)I_p \ll \Gamma$ and $B_{22}(\delta \text{Rep})I_{\text{Rep}} \ll \Gamma$], most of the population is in the ground state $\rho_{33} + \rho_{22} \approx 1$. One can now understand that, in the regime where $B_{32}(\delta p)I_p > B_{22}(\delta \text{Rep})I_{\text{Rep}}$, a transfer of populations from the $F = 2$ state to the $F = 3$ state will increase the total emission. The specific feature of the work presented in this paper is the role of the multiple-scattered light along the $F = 2 \rightarrow F' = 2$ transition, which acts as an effective additional repumper laser, transferring more atoms from the $F = 2$ into the $F = 3$ hyperfine level. For low optical thicknesses, when atoms from the $F = 3$ level are transferred into the $F = 2$ level via a spontaneous Raman-scattering process, the scattered photon can easily escape the cloud. However, for larger optical thicknesses, this spontaneous Raman photon can be reabsorbed by one of the many atoms in the $F = 2$ level, which is, thus, pumped into the $F = 3$ level. The depumping of the strong pump beam is, thus, reduced, and more atoms end up in the $F = 3$ level, leading to an increased total fluorescence in the cloud.

Additional measurements confirm this scenario. Using standard absorption imaging, we can measure the fraction of atoms in the $F = 3$ state after quickly switching off the pump and repump lasers. As shown in Fig. 3, a clear increase in the population of the $F = 3$ state with the optical thickness is observed. Another signature is obtained by measuring the optical spectrum of the emitted light. Using a Fabry-Pérot cavity with a free-spectral range of 400 MHz and a very low finesse of 14, we have measured the spectrum of the emitted light by coupling part of the quasi-isotropic emission from the sample to the Fabry-Pérot cavity. With a resolution of $\approx 30$ MHz, the different hyperfine lines of $^{85}\text{Rb}$ can be resolved. As one can see in Fig. 4, we observe a strong increase in the emission on the $F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 2$ state for larger optical thicknesses of the sample. Both these results confirm the qualitative explanation given above: The increase in fluorescence intensity with $b_0$ is explained by the enhanced repumping of atoms into the $F = 3$ ground state.
FIG. 3. (Color online) Experimental measurements of the atomic population in the $F = 3$ ground state as a function of the optical thickness $b_0$, obtained from absorption imaging. Pumping conditions are the same as for Fig. 2, and the pump is on-resonance. A clear increase in the population of $F = 3$ with the optical thickness is observed. Inset: Evolution with $b_0$ of the population of the $F = 3$ state predicted by our model. We note the overestimation of this population for large optical thicknesses, indicating the limitations of our model.

B. Detailed description of the model

To go beyond this qualitative description and to assess to what extent the population redistribution due to the diffuse light in the sample can explain the observed effects, we will now turn to a more quantitative description based on an ab initio model of radiation trapping in the steady-state regime.

In what follows, we assume that only light with a frequency close to the $F = 2 \to F' = 2$ transition can be trapped in the system and can influence the atomic population balance. This assumption is reasonable, at least, for intermediate optical thicknesses since light scattering induced by the repumper, both on the $F = 2 \to F' = 3$ and the $F = 3 \to F' = 3$ lines, is detuned by several linewidths $\Gamma$ from any resonance ($\delta_{\text{Rep}} = -4\Gamma$). Furthermore, pump-induced light scattering on the $F = 3 \to F' = 2$ transition is subject to low optical thickness as the $F = 3$ state is weakly populated (at least, before population redistribution occurs due to radiation trapping). At first order, radiation trapping will, thus, occur for light scattered by the atoms at a frequency close to the $F = 2 \to F' = 2$ resonance. Its effects on atoms can be modeled by an incoherent pumping rate. Finally, we only consider four effective nondegenerate hyperfine levels and do not take into account the complex Zeeman substructure of the different hyperfine levels. We, thus, neglect effects induced by the polarization of the scattered light [29] or the possible existence of dark states due to effects of coherence between different Zeeman sublevels.

Since only two independent and nondegenerate transitions are coherently excited (the effect on the atoms of the trapped light being described by an incoherent pump rate), the stationary optical Bloch equations reduce to stationary rate equations,

$$\dot{\rho}_{ij} = 0 = -B_{23}(\delta_{\text{Rep}})I_{\text{Rep}}(\rho_{22} - \rho_{33}) - B_{22}(\delta_{\text{RT}})I_{\text{RT}}(\rho_{22} - \rho_{22}) + \Gamma(T_{32}\rho_{33} + T_{32}\rho_{22}),$$

$$\rho_{33} = 0 = -B_{32}(\delta_{P})I_P(\rho_{33} - \rho_{22}) + \Gamma(T_{32}\rho_{33} + T_{32}\rho_{22}),$$

$$\rho_{22} = 0 = B_{32}(\delta_{P})I_P(\rho_{33} - \rho_{22}) + B_{22}(\delta_{\text{RT}})I_{\text{RT}}(\rho_{22} - \rho_{22}) - \Gamma\rho_{22},$$

$$\rho_{33} = 0 = B_{23}(\delta_{\text{Rep}})I_{\text{Rep}}(\rho_{22} - \rho_{33}) - \Gamma\rho_{33}.$$  

The optical thickness at frequencies of the external pump and repumper lasers is small, the external laser beams are weakly attenuated, and the corresponding intensities $I_P$ and $I_{\text{Rep}}$ and $I_{\text{RT}}$, respectively, are the intensities of the pump, repumper, and diffuse light, and $\delta_{P}$, $\delta_{\text{Rep}}$, and $\delta_{\text{RT}}$ are their respective detunings to the transitions they excite. Finally, the detuning-dependent Einstein coefficients $B_{ij}$ can be written as

$$B_{ij}(\delta) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{2F' + 1}{2F + 1} T_i \frac{\sigma_0}{h\omega_0} \frac{1}{1 + 4\gamma_i^2},$$

where $\sigma_0 = 3\lambda_0^2/2\pi$ is the on-resonance cross section of a two-level atom with a transition frequency $\omega_0 = 2\pi c/\lambda_0$. For our level scheme, the values of $T_i = \frac{2F' + 1}{2F + 1} \Sigma F' F$ are as follows: $T_{22} = 1 - T_{33} = 14/18$ and $T_{33} = 1 - T_{32} = 10/18$, where $\Sigma F'$’s are the strength factors of the transitions [31].

As the optical thickness at frequencies of the external pump and repumper lasers is small, the external laser beams are weakly attenuated, and the corresponding intensities $I_P$ and $I_{\text{Rep}}$ can be considered homogeneous. On the other hand, the intensity $I_{\text{RT}}$ of diffuse light at $\omega_0 \approx \omega_{22}$ is not known a priori and can strongly vary in space. In the stationary regime, we compute its profile by solving a diffusion equation,

$$\frac{1}{3n\sigma_0(\mathbf{r})} \Delta I_{\text{RT}}(\mathbf{r}) = -n W(\mathbf{r}),$$

FIG. 4. Experimental fluorescence spectrum of the emitted line, obtained by scanning the length of a Fabry-Pérot cavity for light gray: an on-resonance optical thickness of 50 and dark gray: 145. Pumping conditions are identical to those of Fig. 2, but the number of atoms has been increased to $1.4 \times 10^{10}$ to maximize the signal at the output of the cavity. A clear increase with the optical thickness of the intensity emitted on the $F = 3 \to F' = 2$ line is observed. Note that $F = 2 \to F' = 2$ and $F = 2 \to F' = 3$ lines are separated from the $F = 3 \to F' = 2$ and $F = 3 \to F' = 3$ lines by $\approx 3$ GHz and, thus, correspond to different longitudinal modes of our Fabry-Pérot interferometer, even if they appear almost superimposed in this scan. Inset: Evolution of the intensity emitted on each hyperfine line of the $^{85}$Rb $D2$ line as a function of the optical thickness estimated with our model. A slight decrease in all lines is predicted, except for the line corresponding to the thick dotted gray line: $F = 3 \to F' = 2$ transition, compatible with experimental observations.
where \( r \) is the position in the cloud, \( I_{RT}(r) \) and \( \sigma_{\text{ext}}(r) \) respectively, are the spatially dependent intensity of the diffuse light and the extinction cross section. The source term \( W(r) \) on the right-hand side of this diffusion equation describes the emission of photons from the excited state \( F' = 2 \) and takes into account the reabsorption of light at the frequency \( \omega_{22} \). We note that using the prefactor \( 1/[3n_0\sigma_{\text{ext}}(r)] \) in Eq. (6) implies that the effect of absorption on the diffusion process has been neglected [35,36]. Indeed, in contrast to the situation where the emitted light can be understood as the scattering of an external light and the extinction cross section. The source term \( W(r) \) is now explicit, and we note that using the prefactor \( 1/[3n_0\sigma_{\text{ext}}(r)] \) in Eq. (6) implies that the effect of absorption on the diffusion process has been neglected [35,36].

The energy balance term in the energy balance term describes the power emitted by each single atom on each transition, balanced by the power reabsorbed by the cloud. We can also compute the power emitted on each transition using a similar approach. In Fig. 2, we plot the value of the total emitted power evaluated for a cloud of constant density. Considering the number of approximations, the model yields a satisfactory agreement with our experimental observations.

Using these self-consistent solutions, we can also derive other quantities, which can be measured in the experiment as, for instance, the population of the hyperfine ground states. The qualitative explanation described in Sec. III A of the impact of radiation trapping on the emission of our cloud has been based on the increase in the population in \( F = 3 \) for increasing optical thickness. Cold-atom experiments provide the possibility for measuring the populations of the hyperfine ground states after switching off all incident-laser beams, the small excited-state population quickly relaxing to the ground states. The experimental result and the theoretical prediction are shown in Fig. 3, illustrating the very satisfactory agreement between the predictions of our model and the experimental results.

A further quantity, which can be computed and can be confronted to an experimental verification, is the power emitted along each of the hyperfine spectral lines. From the populations of the excited states and the various branching ratios for the emission, we can derive the emission on all relevant lines. We note that, for the line where radiation trapping is present (\( F = 2 \rightarrow F' = 2 \)), we take into account reabsorption via the \(-\sigma_{\text{ext}}(r)I_{RT}(r)\) term [see (11)], whereas, such reabsorption has been neglected for the other spectral lines. The experimental observation of the spectrum of the emitted light in cold-atom experiments is technically more challenging, but with a moderate resolution, we have been able to resolve the different lines of the relevant transitions. Figure 4 shows the experimental and the numerical results in agreement with our qualitative description since an increased fluorescence along the \( F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 2 \) line is observed for the larger value of \( b_0 \).

C. Beyond rate equations for the atomic response

Our simple model, using stationary rate equations coupled with one diffusion equation, agrees qualitatively with experimental observations. Despite this satisfactory result, several limitations of our models might account for quantitative differences observed between numerical and experimental results. We have, for instance, neglected the Zeeman substructure of all
hyperfine levels, and polarization effects and Raman scattering among different Zeeman sublevels are not taken into account. As the incident lasers are polarized, we expect some degree of polarization to remain, at least, for moderate radiation trapping. Thus, a more-refined model similar to those used in astrophysics [29] would probably allow for improving the description of the light emitted by the cloud of cold atoms, and measurements of the polarization along the various emission lines will allow for testing the regime of validity of such more-evolved codes for radiation trapping.

Another assumption made in the present paper has been to consider radiation trapping along one single optical line. This allows for using one diffusion equation self-consistently coupled to a model of the atomic response. Extending this model to take into account radiation trapping along other spectral lines is, in principle, possible. In the regime of parameters for which we have performed the experiments, however, the use of a single diffusion equation is justified by the important differences in the optical thicknesses associated with the atomic transitions. Neglecting saturation effects, we can, e.g., estimate the optical thickness along each line by

\[ b_{ij} \approx \frac{b_0 \rho_{ij}}{1 + 4 \frac{\delta}{\Gamma}} = b_0 \rho_{ij}, \]

where \( b_{ij} \) is the optical thickness of the transition between \( F = i \) and \( F' = j \). When \( b_{ij} \gg 1 \) (\( L \gg l_c \)), radiation trapping on the corresponding line has to be taken into account in our model. According to the experimental results shown in Fig. 3 and for the lower values of \( b_0 \) (\( b_0 = 23 \)), \( \rho_{33} \approx 4\% \) so that the respective line optical thicknesses are as follows: \( b_{32} \approx 1, b_{22} \approx 23, b_{33} \approx 0.35, \) and \( b_{33} \approx 0.015 \). In this regime, the dominant radiation trapping occurs on the \( F = 2 \rightarrow F' = 2 \) transition for which trapping has been taken into account. For larger values of \( b_0 \), however, \( (b_0 = 68), \rho_{33} \approx 10\%, \) and \( b_{32} \approx 7, b_{22} \approx 61, b_{33} \approx 1, \) and \( b_{33} \approx 0.1 \). Given the optical thickness on the pump line \( \left( F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 2 \right) \), multiple scattering on this line would, in principle, have to be taken into account, even if saturation effects on this transition are expected to reduce the impact of such radiation trapping. Note that saturation of the atomic transition is properly described in our self-consistent model as long as rate equations are valid.

Another assumption made in the approach we have used in this paper concerns the coherence properties of diffuse light. We have used rate equations to describe the light-atom coupling. This approach neglects, in particular, any atomic coherence between different (hyperfine or Zeeman) ground states. In order to evaluate the possibility of such ground-state coherences, we have computed the optical spectrum of the light emitted along the \( F = 2 \rightarrow F' = 2 \) transition, applying the quantum regression theorem [37,38] to atoms with several hyperfine levels (neglecting the Zeeman structure). As shown in Fig. 5, the spectrum of light emitted by an isolated atom, driven by a pump close to resonance, has a width on the order of the natural linewidth \( \Gamma \). The increase in the total fluorescence as a function of the optical thickness, thus, occurs in a regime where the linewidth of the scattered light is on the order of the width of the excited state, making the use of an incoherent radiation-trapping model a reasonable approach. However, when the pump laser is detuned further away from the resonance, the emission line can become significantly narrower that the natural width of the transition. We, therefore, expect Raman coherences between the different hyperfine ground states to play a more-prominent role when the pump laser is detuned from the \( F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 2 \) transition, allowing even hyperfine Raman gain to appear. This situation is explored in our paper on random lasing with cold atoms where gain and scattering need to be combined.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have demonstrated that radiation trapping in a cloud of cold atoms can significantly alter the emission properties of atomic clouds. Simple and strong evidence of radiation trapping can be, thus, obtained in a steady-state regime in contrast to papers exploiting trapping times [5,7–9]. In a laboratory, cold-atom clouds, thus, appear as excellent candidates for investigating different regimes of radiation trapping out of thermal equilibrium, characterized by a strong coupling between radiation and atomic populations. In the case considered in this paper, in a self-consistent way, a simple model coupling a diffusion equation (describing light transport) and rate equations (describing the atomic behavior) has allowed for qualitatively explaining all our observations.
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